Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/773,983	FELDSTEIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Fred Ferris	2128	
S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED ir 5) or other appropriate commu	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due of	d course. THIS
•		
	•	
ve been received. ve been received in Application ocuments have been received. " of this communication to file MENT of this application. mitted. Note the attached EXA ves reason(s) why the oath or just be submitted. rson's Patent Drawing Review r's Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	on No d in this national stage applicate a reply complying with the requestion and the reply and the reply complying with the requestion and the replacement of the drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. No	uirements OTICE OF
6. ⊠ Interview So Paper No./ 7. ⊠ Examiner's	ummary (PTO-413), (Mail Date 20070109). Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allow FRED FERRIS	 R
	Examiner Fred Ferris Dears on the cover sheet will So (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in So (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in So (OR REMAINS) This application is so (IS and MPEP 1308. Under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) over been received. We been received in Application ocuments have been received. We been received in Application. MENT of this application. MITTER Note the attached EXAMINES (IS) why the oath of the submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted in the submitted is submitted. The submitted is submitted in the submitted in the submitted is submitted in the submitted in the submitted is submitted in the submitted in	Examiner Fred Ferris Dears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addres (S) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due of RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue (S) and MPEP 1308. Dears of the cover sheet with the correspondence addres (S) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due of RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue (S) and MPEP 1308. Dears of this application is subject to withdrawal from issue (S) and MPEP 1308. Dears of the been received. Dears of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements have been received in this national stage applicate (T) of this application. Dears of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirement (Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or Notes reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient. Dears of this submitted. Dears of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirement (PTO-948) attached (PTO-948) atta

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-31 have been presented for examination based on applicant's disclosure filed 18 December 2006. Applicants have now cancelled claims 2, 10, and 27. Claims 17-25 are cancelled by the Examiners' Amendment of record below. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-16, 26, and 28-31 remain pending in this application and have now been allowed over the prior art of record.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Ratlif (for J. Hao) on January 9, 2007.

Amend the claims as follows:

Claims 17-25 are cancelled.

Correct the dependence of claims 3, 5, and 6 as follows:

In the first line of claim 3 (now renumbered 2) **delete** the number 2 and **insert** the number <u>1</u> after the word "Claim".

In the first line of claim 5 (now renumbered 4) **delete** the number 2 and **insert** the number <u>1</u> after the word "Claim".

Application/Control Number: 10/773,983 Page 3

Art Unit: 2128

In the first line of claim 6 (now renumbered 5) delete the number 5 and insert

the number 4 after the word "Claim".

Correct the dependence of claim 29 as follows:

In the first line of claim 29 (now renumbered 17) **delete** the number 27 and **insert** the number <u>15</u> after the word "Claim".

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 18 December 2006 with respect to the pending claims have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Regarding applicant's response to claim objections: The examiner withdraws the claim objection in view of applicant's amendment to the claims.

Regarding applicant's response to 101 rejections: The examiner withdraws the 101 rejections in view of applicant's amendment to the claims and supporting arguments filed 18 December 2006.

Regarding applicant's response to 102/103 rejections: The examiner withdraws the previous 102/103 rejections in view of applicant's amendment to the claims, supporting arguments filed 18 December 2006, and the Examiner's Amendment of record appearing below.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-16, 26, and 28-31 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Application/Control Number: 10/773,983

Art Unit: 2128

Applicants are disclosing a method and system for generating a simulated network topology inclusive of a graphical user interface for creating device connections and automatically generating a simulated network based on the devices and connections. This has been disclosed in the prior art of record.

While these elements are individually disclosed in the prior art, the prior art of record does not meet the conditions as suggested in MPEP section 2132, namely:

"The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an **ipsissimis verbis** test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. **In re Bond**, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990)."

In particular, the prior art does not disclose the specific sequence of steps or arrangement of elements relating to receiving a network topology generated at a graphical user interface and automatically generating a file describing the simulated network topology <u>in combination with</u> the elements of <u>accessing a neighbor discovery</u> <u>protocol table</u> for the devices and subsequently <u>compiling the static and connection</u> <u>information into a build file</u>, as now required by independent claims 1, 9, and 26. The closest prior art uncovered during examination teaches certain limitations of the claimed invention as follows:

OPNET Modeler, Product Description: teaches the OPNET Modeler network simulator and modeling tool used for the development and analysis of communications network topology via a GUI based user

interface. However, OPNET does not explicitly disclose the additional combination of accessing a neighbor discovery protocol table for the network devices and subsequently compiling the static and connection information into a build file as now required by independent claims 1, 9, and 26.

U.S. Patent 6,714,217 issued to Huang et al: teaches method and system for generating a simulated network inclusive of network topology generated by user interface GUI of network devices, but again, does not explicitly disclose the additional combination of accessing a neighbor discovery protocol table for the network devices and subsequently compiling the static and connection information into a build file as now required by independent claims 1, 9, and 26.

Independent claim 26 further uses "means for" language and is given deference in view of In re Donaldson and interpreted in view of 35 U.S.C. § 112 paragraph 6. The "means for" language and the limitations related thereto of claims 26 us interpreted within the scope of enablement as provided within the relative embodiment provided within applicant's specification.

The features noted above therefore render the claimed invention non-obvious over the prior art of record. Dependent claims 3-8, 11-16, and 28-31 are deemed allowable as depending either directly or indirectly from independent claims 1, 9, and 26.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,983

Art Unit: 2128

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 571-272-3778 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3700. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached at 571-272-2279. The Official Fax Number is: (571) 273 8300

Fred Ferris, Primary Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2128
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, Room 5D19
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22313
Phone: (571-272-3778)
Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov
January 9, 2007

A REPUBLICA OF THE PROPERTY OF